# metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis{µ-2-[bis(pyridin-2-yl)methylidene]hydrazinecarbothioamidato}bis[bromidocopper(II)] methanol disolvate

#### Roji J. Kunnath,<sup>a</sup> M. Sithambaresan,<sup>b</sup>\* M. R. Prathapachandra Kurup,<sup>a</sup> Aiswarya Natarajan<sup>a</sup> and A. Ambili Aravindakshan<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kochi 682022, India, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Chenkalady, Sri Lanka Correspondence e-mail: eesans@yahoo.com

Received 24 January 2012; accepted 10 February 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.006 Å; R factor = 0.034; wR factor = 0.094; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.9.

In the centrosymmetric binuclear title compound,  $[Cu_2Br_2-(C_{12}H_{10}N_5S)_2]\cdot 2CH_3OH$ , the  $Cu^{II}$  ion adopts a slightly distorted square-pyramidal coordination geometry. The hydrazine carbothioamide moiety and one of the pyridyl rings together adopt an almost planar arrangement, with a maximum deviation of 0.052 (4) Å for the C atom of the thiourea moiety. There are two molecules of methanol solvent per complex in the asymmetric unit. The nonconventional intramolecular  $C-H\cdots$ Br hydrogen bonds make the molecule more rigid, whereas the conventional  $N-H\cdots N$  and  $O-H\cdots$ Br intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions, supported with  $N-H\cdots\pi$  interactions, establish a supramolecular linkage among the molecules in the crystal. An intermolecular  $C-H\cdots$ O interaction is also present.

#### **Related literature**

For the biological applications of multinuclear copper complexes of hydrazinecarbothioamide, see: Moubaraki *et al.* (1998); Khan *et al.* (1985). For the synthesis of the title compound, see: Philip *et al.* (2006). For related structures of dimeric copper complexes of hydrazinecarbothioamide, see: Ainscough *et al.* (1991); Philip *et al.* (2005). For related literature, see: Duan *et al.* (1996).



# Experimental

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Cu_2Br_2(C_{12}H_{10}N_5S)_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot 2CH_4O \\ M_r = 863.62 \\ \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1} \\ a = 8.3052 (7) \text{ Å} \\ b = 9.2120 (7) \text{ Å} \\ c = 11.0500 (9) \text{ Å} \\ \alpha = 68.341 (2)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 79.127 (3)^{\circ} \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### Data collection

```
Bruker AXS Kappa APEXII CCD
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2004)
T_{min} = 0.300, T_{max} = 0.354
```

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$   $wR(F^2) = 0.094$  S = 1.082688 reflections 209 parameters 2 restraints V = 771.45 (11) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 1Mo K $\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 4.15$  mm<sup>-1</sup> T = 296 K  $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$  mm

 $\gamma = 84.913 \ (2)^{\circ}$ 

11266 measured reflections 2688 independent reflections 2374 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.065$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement 
$$\begin{split} &\Delta\rho_{max}=0.46~e~{\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ &\Delta\rho_{min}=-0.48~e~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg4 is the centroid of the N2/C7-C11 ring.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$D1 - H1A \cdots Br1^{i}$ $N5 - H5A \cdots N4^{ii}$ $C4 - H4 \cdots O1^{iii}$ $C11 - H11 \cdots Br1^{iv}$ $C1 - H1 \cdots Br1$	0.82 0.84 (2) 0.93 0.93 0.93	2.58 2.17 (2) 2.44 2.86 2.91	3.396 (4) 3.006 (4) 3.281 (5) 3.573 (4) 3.450 (4)	178 177 (5) 151 135 119
$N5-H5B\cdots Cg4^n$	0.84 (2)	2.71 (4)	3.310 (4)	129 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) x, y, z - 1; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *APEX2/ SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT/XPREP* (Bruker, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2010); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

RJK is grateful to University Grants Commision, New Delhi, India, for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship. AN and AAA are grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India, for financial support in the form of Junior Research Fellowships. The authors are grateful to the Sophisticated Analytical Instument Facility, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kochi-22, for providing single-crystal X-ray diffraction data.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FJ2511).

#### References

- Ainscough, E. W., Brodie, A. M., Ranford, J. D. & Waters, J. M. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1737–1742.
- Brandenburg, K. (2010). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2, SAINT, XPREP and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Duan, C.-Y., Wu, B.-M. & Mak, T. C. W. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3485–3490.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Khan, O. (1985). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 24, 834-850.
- Moubaraki, B., Murray, K. S., Ranford, J. D., Wang, X. & Xu, Y. (1998). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 353–354.
- Philip, V., Suni, V., Kurup, M. R. P. & Nethaji, M. (2005). *Polyhedron*, **24**, 1133–1142.
- Philip, V., Suni, V., Kurup, M. R. P. & Nethaji, M. (2006). Polyhedron, 25, 1931– 1938.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m346-m347 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812005934]

# Bis{*µ*-2-[bis(pyridin-2-yl)methylidene]hydrazinecarbothioamidato}bis-[bromidocopper(II)] methanol disolvate

# Roji J. Kunnath, M. Sithambaresan, M. R. Prathapachandra Kurup, Aiswarya Natarajan and A. Ambili Aravindakshan

#### Comment

Hydrazinecarbothioamides have been reported to have a great variety of biological activity. In most cases, the metal complexes show more activity compared to their metal free ligands (Moubaraki *et al.*, 1998). Coupled systems of transition metal complexes are of special interest in various fields of science. The main reason probably is due to the phenomenon of interaction between metal centers lying at the crossover point of two widely separated areas, namely the physics of the magnetic materials and the role of polynuclear reaction sites in biological processes (Khan *et al.*, 1985).

The title complex  $[Cu_2Br_2(C_{12}H_{10}N_5S)_2]$ .2(CH<sub>3</sub>OH) has a dimeric structure. The coordination geometry around each copper(II) ion is square pyramidal with a slight distortion ( $\tau = 0.03$ ). The S1 atom of the hydrazinecarbothioamide moiety, the imino N3 atom, pyridine N1 atom and the Br1 atom comprise the basal plane while the apical position is occupied by the N2A atom of the symmetry related half of the dimer with a longest bond length to the metal atom of 2.529 (3) Å. The hydrazinecarbothioamide moiety of the free ligand shows E configuration about the both C12–N4 and C6–N3 (Ainscough et al., 1991; Philip et al., 2005) whereas in the Cu<sup>II</sup> complex the coordinated hydrazinecarbothioamide moiety has E configuration with respect to C6–N3 and Z configuration about C12–N4. The atoms coordinated to metal centre found to exist in E configuration having N3 and N1 atoms cis to each other with respect to C5—C6 bond. A unique part of the Cu<sup>II</sup> complex and the dimeric unit generated by the association of the free pyridyl nitrogen with the Cu atom are shown along with the atom-labeling in Fig. 1 and 2 respectively. The two aromatic rings are twisted with a dihedral angle of 88.1 (2)° between the rings. The hydrazinecarbothioamide moiety and one of the pyridine ring comprising atoms C1— C6 and N1 are almost planar with maximum deviation of 0.052 (4) Å for the atom C12 of the ring. C12–S1 bond distance (1.727 (4) Å) is very close to the single bond (Duan et al., 1996) which suggests that the ligand is coordinated in the thiolate form. This phenomenon could also be further confirmed by the coplanar nature of the  $NH_2$  group of the coordinated ligand with  $sp^2$  character wich facilitates an extended conjugation of the hydrazinecarbothioamide moiety with the aromatic rings.

The intramolecular non-classical hydrogen bonding interactions (C1–H1···Br1 and C11–H11···Br1), Table 1, makes the complex more rigid. The intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions (classical and non-classical) establish a supramolecular 1-D network by linking the adjacent molecules through the methanol present in the lattice and N—H···N in parallel fashion as shown in Fig. 3. Packing of the molecules also involves many very weak  $\pi$ .. $\pi$  interactions with centroid-centroid distances in the range 3.707 (2)–5.778 (2). However, there is an N—H··· $\pi$  interaction between the hydrogen attached at N5 atom and one of the pyridyl ring comprising atoms from C7—C11 and N2 of another molecule and also a lone-pair··· $\pi$  interaction between the Br1 atom and two different chelate rings comprising atoms Cu1, S1, C12, N3, N4 and Cu1, N1, N3, C5, C6.

#### Experimental

The title complex was prepared by adapting a reported procedure (Philip *et al.*, 2006) by refluxing a mixture of methanolic solutions of 2-[di(pyridin-2-yl)methylidene]hydrazinecarbothioamide (2.573 g, 10 mmol) and CuBr<sub>2</sub> (2.230 g, 10 mmol) for four hours. Black colored crystals were collected, washed with few drops of methanol and dried over  $P_4O_{10}$  *in vacuo*. Single crystals of the title complex suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from its methanolic solution.

#### Refinement

All H atoms on C were placed in calculated positions, guided by difference maps, with C—H bond distances 0.93–0.96 Å. H atoms were assigned as  $U_{iso}$ =1.2  $U_{eq}$  (1.5 for Me). N5—H5A and N5—H5B H atoms were located from difference maps and restrained using *DFIX* instructions. The O1—H1A (0.82 Å) hydrogen of the methanol solvent is also placed in calculated position guided by difference maps.

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *APEX2/SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT/XPREP* (Bruker, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2010); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).



#### Figure 1

*ORTEP* view of the unique part of the Cu complex, drawn with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for the non-H atoms.



#### Figure 2

A view of the dimeric unit generated by the association of one of the pyridyl N of the ligand with the Cu atom of an adjacent molecule. The weak metal-axial ligand interaction is represented by dashed lines. Non-H atoms are drawn with 50% probability ellipsoids. The H atoms in the complex are omitted for clarity.



# Figure 3

Hydrogen-bonding interactions showing an infinite chain in the crystal structure of [Cu<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub> H<sub>10</sub> N<sub>5</sub> S)<sub>2</sub>].2(C H<sub>3</sub> OH).

### Bis{µ-2-[bis(pyridin-2- yl)methylidene]hydrazinecarbothioamidato}bis[bromidocopper(II)] methanol disolvate

#### Crystal data

Z = 1 F(000) = 430.0 $D_x = 1.859 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 7050 reflections $\theta = 2.4-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.15 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296  K Block, black $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$
11266 measured reflections 2688 independent reflections 2374 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.065$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.4^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.094$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.08	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
2688 reflections	and constrained refinement
209 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0351P)^2 + 0.9038P]$
2 restraints	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.46 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Br1	0.58511 (5)	-0.08863 (4)	0.69095 (4)	0.04060 (15)
Cul	0.47922 (5)	0.17346 (5)	0.59664 (4)	0.03332 (15)
S1	0.22214 (12)	0.12075 (11)	0.70508 (10)	0.0434 (3)
01	0.7785 (5)	0.7271 (5)	0.9538 (4)	0.0823 (12)
H1A	0.7308	0.7735	0.8912	0.123*
N1	0.6759 (3)	0.2576 (3)	0.4581 (3)	0.0299 (6)
N2	0.4272 (3)	0.7176 (3)	0.2495 (3)	0.0302 (6)
N3	0.3754 (3)	0.3618 (3)	0.4794 (3)	0.0238 (6)
N4	0.2129 (3)	0.3997 (3)	0.5030 (3)	0.0294 (6)
N5	-0.0218 (4)	0.3210 (4)	0.6483 (3)	0.0417 (8)
C1	0.8282 (4)	0.2004 (5)	0.4516 (4)	0.0379 (9)
H1	0.8526	0.1102	0.5197	0.045*
C2	0.9519 (5)	0.2690 (5)	0.3480 (4)	0.0465 (10)
H2	1.0579	0.2269	0.3470	0.056*
C3	0.9154 (5)	0.3999 (5)	0.2473 (4)	0.0445 (10)
Н3	0.9964	0.4475	0.1758	0.053*
C4	0.7571 (5)	0.4617 (5)	0.2518 (4)	0.0360 (8)
H4	0.7305	0.5510	0.1838	0.043*
C5	0.6401 (4)	0.3882 (4)	0.3587 (3)	0.0268 (7)
C6	0.4688 (4)	0.4423 (4)	0.3730 (3)	0.0248 (7)
C7	0.4119 (4)	0.5710 (4)	0.2597 (3)	0.0248 (7)
C8	0.3584 (5)	0.5319 (4)	0.1652 (4)	0.0384 (9)
H8	0.3479	0.4276	0.1771	0.046*
С9	0.3207 (5)	0.6484 (5)	0.0535 (4)	0.0433 (10)
Н9	0.2855	0.6248	-0.0122	0.052*

C10	0 3360 (5)	0 7995 (4)	0.0412(4)	0.0377(9)	
H10	0.3116	0.8812	-0.0334	0.045*	
C11	0.3880 (5)	0.8296 (4)	0.1402 (4)	0.0372 (9)	
H11	0.3965	0.9332	0.1310	0.045*	
C12	0.1364 (4)	0.2936 (4)	0.6108 (3)	0.0288 (7)	
C13	0.8997 (8)	0.8191 (8)	0.9536 (7)	0.0809 (17)	
H13A	0.8666	0.8600	1.0230	0.121*	
H13B	0.9186	0.9039	0.8700	0.121*	
H13C	0.9988	0.7578	0.9675	0.121*	
H5A	-0.074 (5)	0.401 (4)	0.608 (4)	0.058 (14)*	
H5B	-0.078 (5)	0.261 (4)	0.718 (3)	0.053 (14)*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Br1	0.0512 (3)	0.0267 (2)	0.0425 (3)	0.01050 (16)	-0.01669 (19)	-0.00917 (17)
Cu1	0.0283 (3)	0.0284 (3)	0.0318 (3)	0.00663 (18)	-0.00458 (19)	0.00051 (19)
S1	0.0334 (5)	0.0364 (5)	0.0404 (6)	0.0031 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0062 (4)
O1	0.070 (3)	0.089 (3)	0.072 (3)	-0.014 (2)	-0.023 (2)	-0.002 (2)
N1	0.0262 (15)	0.0315 (16)	0.0310 (16)	0.0048 (12)	-0.0072 (12)	-0.0103 (13)
N2	0.0306 (16)	0.0280 (16)	0.0283 (16)	0.0002 (12)	-0.0047 (13)	-0.0061 (12)
N3	0.0202 (14)	0.0251 (14)	0.0256 (14)	0.0027 (11)	-0.0054 (11)	-0.0084 (11)
N4	0.0260 (15)	0.0281 (15)	0.0283 (15)	0.0045 (12)	-0.0048 (12)	-0.0045 (12)
N5	0.0282 (17)	0.042 (2)	0.0375 (19)	0.0030 (15)	0.0036 (15)	0.0003 (16)
C1	0.0269 (19)	0.040 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.0102 (16)	-0.0082 (17)	-0.0107 (17)
C2	0.028 (2)	0.052 (3)	0.055 (3)	0.0104 (18)	-0.0033 (19)	-0.018 (2)
C3	0.029 (2)	0.053 (3)	0.047 (2)	-0.0023 (17)	0.0049 (18)	-0.018 (2)
C4	0.034 (2)	0.037 (2)	0.033 (2)	-0.0006 (16)	-0.0025 (16)	-0.0095 (16)
C5	0.0275 (18)	0.0287 (17)	0.0272 (18)	0.0022 (13)	-0.0070 (14)	-0.0128 (14)
C6	0.0267 (18)	0.0222 (16)	0.0251 (17)	0.0011 (13)	-0.0067 (14)	-0.0074 (14)
C7	0.0202 (16)	0.0259 (17)	0.0229 (16)	0.0010 (13)	-0.0013 (13)	-0.0042 (13)
C8	0.049 (2)	0.0285 (19)	0.040 (2)	0.0026 (16)	-0.0159 (18)	-0.0121 (16)
C9	0.050(2)	0.049 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.0027 (19)	-0.0166 (18)	-0.0155 (18)
C10	0.042 (2)	0.037 (2)	0.0258 (19)	0.0055 (16)	-0.0116 (16)	-0.0002 (15)
C11	0.047 (2)	0.0259 (18)	0.033 (2)	0.0012 (16)	-0.0087 (17)	-0.0031 (15)
C12	0.0238 (17)	0.0317 (18)	0.0287 (18)	0.0032 (14)	-0.0054 (14)	-0.0087 (15)
C13	0.077 (4)	0.087 (4)	0.092 (4)	0.006 (3)	-0.024(3)	-0.045(4)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—Cu1	2.4084 (5)	C2—C3	1.363 (6)	
Cu1—N3	1.982 (3)	C2—H2	0.9300	
Cu1—N1	2.005 (3)	C3—C4	1.385 (5)	
Cu1—S1	2.2404 (11)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
S1—C12	1.727 (3)	C4—C5	1.376 (5)	
O1—C13	1.371 (7)	C4—H4	0.9300	
O1—H1A	0.8200	C5—C6	1.464 (5)	
N1-C1	1.326 (4)	C6—C7	1.492 (4)	
N1C5	1.351 (4)	С7—С8	1.378 (5)	
N2—C7	1.329 (4)	C8—C9	1.372 (5)	

N2—C11	1.341 (5)	C8—H8	0.9300
N3—C6	1.284 (4)	C9—C10	1.363 (6)
N3—N4	1.365 (4)	С9—Н9	0.9300
N4—C12	1.320 (4)	C10—C11	1.373 (5)
N5—C12	1.333 (5)	C10—H10	0.9300
N5—H5A	0.837 (19)	C11—H11	0.9300
N5—H5B	0.843 (19)	C13—H13A	0.9600
C1—C2	1.379 (6)	C13—H13B	0.9600
C1—H1	0.9300	C13—H13C	0.9600
N3—Cu1—N1	81.17 (11)	N1—C5—C4	121.6 (3)
N3—Cu1—S1	83.78 (8)	N1—C5—C6	115.1 (3)
N1—Cu1—S1	163.80 (9)	C4—C5—C6	123.3 (3)
N3—Cu1—Br1	162.00 (8)	N3—C6—C5	115.6 (3)
N1—Cu1—Br1	97.02 (8)	N3—C6—C7	125.0 (3)
S1—Cu1—Br1	95.45 (3)	C5—C6—C7	118.9 (3)
C12—S1—Cu1	95.59 (12)	N2—C7—C8	123.3 (3)
C13—O1—H1A	109.5	N2—C7—C6	118.5 (3)
C1—N1—C5	118.6 (3)	C8—C7—C6	118.1 (3)
C1—N1—Cu1	128.9 (3)	C9—C8—C7	119.3 (3)
C5—N1—Cu1	112.5 (2)	С9—С8—Н8	120.3
C7—N2—C11	116.4 (3)	С7—С8—Н8	120.3
C6—N3—N4	121.0 (3)	C10—C9—C8	118.2 (4)
C6—N3—Cu1	115.6 (2)	С10—С9—Н9	120.9
N4—N3—Cu1	123.3 (2)	С8—С9—Н9	120.9
C12—N4—N3	111.1 (3)	C9—C10—C11	119.2 (3)
C12—N5—H5A	124 (3)	C9—C10—H10	120.4
C12—N5—H5B	123 (3)	C11—C10—H10	120.4
H5A—N5—H5B	113 (4)	N2—C11—C10	123.5 (4)
N1—C1—C2	122.8 (4)	N2—C11—H11	118.2
N1—C1—H1	118.6	C10—C11—H11	118.2
C2—C1—H1	118.6	N4—C12—N5	117.0 (3)
C3—C2—C1	118.6 (4)	N4—C12—S1	125.8 (3)
С3—С2—Н2	120.7	N5—C12—S1	117.2 (3)
С1—С2—Н2	120.7	O1—C13—H13A	109.5
C2—C3—C4	119.7 (4)	O1—C13—H13B	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	120.2	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	120.2	O1—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C4—C3	118.7 (4)	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C4—H4	120.7	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
C3—C4—H4	120.7		
N3-Cu1-S1-C12	-4 96 (14)	C3—C4—C5—N1	-0.5(5)
$N_{-Cu} = S_{-C1}^{-C12}$	-266(3)	$C_{3}$ $C_{4}$ $C_{5}$ $C_{6}$	-1793(3)
Br1-Cu1-S1-C12	-166.89(12)	N4—N3—C6—C5	-179.2(3)
N3-Cu1-N1-C1	179 6 (3)	$C_{11} = N_{3} = C_{6} = C_{5}$	-38(4)
S1-Cu1-N1-C1	-1585(3)	N4—N3—C6—C7	-67(5)
Br1-Cu1-N1-C1	-184(3)	$C_{11} = N_{3} = C_{6} = C_{7}$	168.7(3)
N3-Cu1-N1-C5	-2.2(2)	N1 - C5 - C6 - N3	1.9 (4)
	·= \=,		\ •/

\$1—Cu1—N1—C5	19.7 (5)	C4—C5—C6—N3	-179.3(3)
Br1—Cu1—N1—C5	159.8 (2)	N1-C5-C6-C7	-171.0(3)
N1—Cu1—N3—C6	3.3 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	7.8 (5)
S1—Cu1—N3—C6	-170.7 (2)	C11—N2—C7—C8	-0.3 (5)
Br1—Cu1—N3—C6	-82.2 (3)	C11—N2—C7—C6	174.3 (3)
N1—Cu1—N3—N4	178.6 (3)	N3—C6—C7—N2	99.6 (4)
S1—Cu1—N3—N4	4.6 (2)	C5C6C7N2	-88.2 (4)
Br1—Cu1—N3—N4	93.1 (3)	N3—C6—C7—C8	-85.5 (4)
C6—N3—N4—C12	173.7 (3)	C5-C6-C7-C8	86.7 (4)
Cu1—N3—N4—C12	-1.3 (4)	N2—C7—C8—C9	1.0 (6)
C5—N1—C1—C2	0.3 (6)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-173.6 (3)
Cu1—N1—C1—C2	178.4 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C10	-0.8 (6)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-1.0 (6)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-0.1 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.8 (6)	C7—N2—C11—C10	-0.7 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.1 (6)	C9—C10—C11—N2	0.9 (6)
C1—N1—C5—C4	0.4 (5)	N3—N4—C12—N5	176.8 (3)
Cu1—N1—C5—C4	-178.0 (3)	N3—N4—C12—S1	-4.8 (4)
C1—N1—C5—C6	179.3 (3)	Cu1—S1—C12—N4	7.2 (3)
Cu1—N1—C5—C6	0.9 (3)	Cu1—S1—C12—N5	-174.4 (3)

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg4 is the centroid of the N2/C7–C11 ring.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O1—H1A···Br1 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	2.58	3.396 (4)	178
N5—H5A···N4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.84 (2)	2.17 (2)	3.006 (4)	177 (5)
C4—H4···O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93	2.44	3.281 (5)	151
C11—H11···Br1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.93	2.86	3.573 (4)	135
C1—H1···Br1	0.93	2.91	3.450 (4)	119
N5—H5 $B$ ··· $Cg4^{ii}$	0.84 (2)	2.71 (4)	3.310 (4)	129 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (ii) –*x*, –*y*+1, –*z*+1; (iii) *x*, *y*, *z*–1; (iv) –*x*+1, –*y*+1, –*z*+1.